

**\*\* EACH PAPER IS TREATED LIKE \*\*  
A SEPARATE DOCUMENT AND  
MAY BE VIEWED AND/OR  
DOWNLOADED THAT WAY**

**NISTIR 6146**

---

# **Nuisance Alarms in Aircraft Cargo Areas and Critical Telecommunications Systems: Proceedings of The Third NIST Fire Detector Workshop**

---

William L. Grosshandler  
Editor

Building and Fire Research Laboratory  
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899



United States Department of Commerce  
Technology Administration  
National Institute of Standards and Technology

**\*\* EACH PAPER IS TREATED LIKE \*\*  
A SEPARATE DOCUMENT AND  
MAY BE VIEWED AND/OR  
DOWNLOADED THAT WAY**

**NISTIR 6146**

---

# **Nuisance Alarms in Aircraft Cargo Areas and Critical Telecommunications Systems: Proceedings of The Third NIST Fire Detector Workshop**

---

William L. Grosshandler  
Editor

March 1998  
Building and Fire Research Laboratory  
National Institute of Standards and Technology  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899



**U.S. Department of Commerce**  
William M. Daley, *Secretary*  
**Technology Administration**  
Gary R. Bachula, *Acting Under Secretary for Technology*  
National Institute of Standards and Technology  
Raymond G. Kammer, *Director*

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The need for faster and more intelligent decision making regarding the presence or absence of a fire threat has become acute in the commercial aircraft and telecommunications industries, both of which have been particularly hard-hit by the cessation of halon production. The drive toward earlier detection has as a consequence the possibility of increased rate of nuisance alarms; however, there are no accepted standards against which a fire detection system can be operated to assess its immunity to false alarm. A workshop was held at NIST with the main objective to identify physical sources of nuisance alarms that may plague current and emerging fire detection technologies for telecommunications applications and for aircraft cargo areas, to reach consensus on what test methods are appropriate to evaluate a detection system's immunity to false alarm in the presence of physical nuisance sources, and to recommend actions to develop and/or implement these new test methods. The workshop consisted of a number of invited background talks from representatives of the aircraft and telecommunications industries and government agencies. The current state of detector evaluation methodologies was reviewed, along with what has been documented in the open literature regarding the number and sources of nuisance/false alarms in these two applications. Groups were formed from among the participants to discuss relevant issues, followed by open deliberations in an attempt to arrive at a consensus. Among the topics were defining realistic fire threats and simulating them; documenting existing environments; simulating environments that lead to false alarms; determining requirements of the industry with regard to the tolerable rate of nuisance alarms; and examining current operating practices as a means to identify opportunities to reduce false alarms. This report summarizes the discussions and presents the major findings for each application.

Key recommendations for both the airlines and telecommunications industries include the following:

- Develop consensus on what constitutes "acceptable" performance for new classes of detection systems, including the fire threats to be detected as specified by fuels, geometry, rates of heat release, smoke generated, and times to detection.
- Compile background data from currently installed fire detection systems to account for the number of fire incidents, the number and major sources of nuisance alarms and the associated actions and costs, and to establish the range of conditions normally encountered in the non-fire state.
- Expand capabilities to simulate common environmental nuisance sources including relative humidity, condensation, dust, combustion engine exhaust gases, and soldering operations, and develop protocols to evaluate detection systems exposed to these environments.
- Investigate methods for evaluating and certifying proprietary software to ascertain its ability to discriminate a fire from a non-fire state in the presence of nuisance background sources.
- Develop safe, convenient, and scientifically sound techniques to certify detection systems as installed in the field.

(Note that the authorship of this report is diffuse, but the major contributors to each section are noted. The editor has heavily paraphrased the statements of the contributors, but also has taken the liberty to fill in or expand to improve continuity or understanding for the reader.)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>page</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	iii
INTRODUCTION	
<i>W. Grosshandler</i> .....	1
FIRE DETECTION FOR CRITICAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	
<i>R. Marts, M. Hanley, J. Betz, J. Parssinen, R. Bukowski and E. Braun</i>	
Background .....	2
Discussion .....	7
AIRCRAFT CARGO AREA FIRE DETECTION	
<i>D. Blake, J. O'Sullivan, S. Hammann, M. Kolleck, and T. Cleary</i>	
Background .....	9
Discussion.....	11
RECOMMENDATIONS	
General .....	12
Telecommunications.....	12
Aircraft Cargo Areas.....	13
APPENDIX	
A. Evaluating Fire Detection System Response to Nuisance Sources	
<i>W. Grosshandler</i> .....	14
• Current fire sensing methods	
• Standard detection test fires	
• Defining the non-fire state	
• Role of computational fluid dynamics	
• Fire-emulator/detector-evaluator	
• Concluding remarks	
B. Workshop Agenda .....	22
C. Breakout Sessions .....	24
D. List of Attendees .....	25
E. References .....	30

NIST-114  
(REV. 6-93)  
ADMAN 4.09

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY

# MANUSCRIPT REVIEW AND APPROVAL

INSTRUCTIONS: ATTACH ORIGINAL OF THIS FORM TO ONE (1) COPY OF MANUSCRIPT AND SEND TO THE SECRETARY, APPROPRIATE EDITORIAL REVIEW BOARD

(ERB USE ONLY)	
ERB CONTROL NUMBER	DIVISION
PUBLICATION REPORT NUMBER NISTIR 6146	CATEGORY CODE
PUBLICATION DATE March 1998	NUMBER PRINTED PAGES

TITLE AND SUBTITLE (CITE IN FULL)

**Nuisance alarms in aircraft cargo areas and critical telecommunications systems: proceedings of the third nist fire detector workshop**

CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER

TYPE OF REPORT AND/OR PERIOD COVERED

AUTHOR(S) (LAST NAME, FIRST INITIAL, SECOND INITIAL)

William L. Grosshandler (editor)

PERFORMING ORGANIZATION (CHECK (X) ONE BOX)

☒ NIST/GAITHERSBURG  
☐ NIST/BOULDER  
☐ JILA/BOULDER

LABORATORY AND DIVISION NAMES (FIRST NIST AUTHOR ONLY)

Building and Fire Research Laboratory

SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND COMPLETE ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP)

none

PROPOSED FOR NIST PUBLICATION

<input type="checkbox"/> JOURNAL OF RESEARCH (NIST JRES)	<input type="checkbox"/> MONOGRAPH (NIST MN)	<input type="checkbox"/> LETTER CIRCULAR
<input type="checkbox"/> J. PHYS. & CHEM. REF. DATA (JPCRD)	<input type="checkbox"/> NATL. STD. REF. DATA SERIES (NIST NSRDS)	<input type="checkbox"/> BUILDING SCIENCE SERIES
<input type="checkbox"/> HANDBOOK (NIST HB)	<input type="checkbox"/> FEDERAL INF. PROCESS. STDS. (NIST FIPS)	<input type="checkbox"/> PRODUCT STANDARDS
<input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL PUBLICATION (NIST SP)	<input type="checkbox"/> LIST OF PUBLICATIONS (NIST LP)	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____
<input type="checkbox"/> TECHNICAL NOTE (NIST TN)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NIST INTERAGENCY/INTERNAL REPORT (NISTIR)	

PROPOSED FOR NON-NIST PUBLICATION (CITE FULLY)

☐ U.S.

☐ FOREIGN

PUBLISHING MEDIUM

☒ PAPER ☐ CD-ROM  
☐ DISKETTE (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

ABSTRACT (A 2000-CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SUMMARY OF MOST SIGNIFICANT INFORMATION. IF DOCUMENT INCLUDES A SIGNIFICANT BIBLIOGRAPHY OR LITERATURE SURVEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT ACRONYMS ON FIRST REFERENCE.) (CONTINUE ON SEPARATE PAGE, IF NECESSARY.)

The need for faster and more intelligent decision making regarding the presence or absence of a fire threat has become acute in the commercial aircraft and telecommunications industries, both of which have been particularly hard-hit by the cessation of halon production. The drive toward earlier detection has as a consequence the possibility of increased rate of nuisance alarms; however, there are no accepted standards against which a fire detection system can be operated to assess its immunity to false alarm. A workshop was held at NIST with the main objective to identify physical sources of nuisance alarms that may plague current and emerging fire detection technologies for telecommunications applications and for aircraft cargo areas, to reach consensus on what test methods are appropriate to evaluate a detection system's immunity to false alarm in the presence of physical nuisance sources, and to recommend actions to develop and/or implement these new test methods. The workshop consisted of a number of invited background talks from representatives of the aircraft and telecommunications industries and government agencies. Among the topics discussed were defining realistic fire threats and simulating them; documenting existing environments; simulating environments that lead to false alarms; determining requirements of the industry with regard to the tolerable rate of nuisance alarms; and examining current operating practices as a means to identify opportunities to reduce false alarms.

KEY WORDS (MAXIMUM OF 9; 28 CHARACTERS AND SPACES EACH; SEPARATE WITH SEMICOLONS; ALPHABETIC ORDER; CAPITALIZE ONLY PROPER NAMES)

fire detection; aircraft cargo fires; telecommunications; smoke detectors;

AVAILABILITY

☒ UNLIMITED ☐ FOR OFFICIAL DISTRIBUTION - DO NOT RELEASE TO NTIS  
ORDER FROM SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS, U.S. GPO, WASHINGTON, DC 20402  
☒ ORDER FROM NTIS, SPRINGFIELD, VA 22161

NOTE TO AUTHOR(S): IF YOU DO NOT WISH THIS MANUSCRIPT ANNOUNCED BEFORE PUBLICATION, PLEASE CHECK HERE. ☐

WORDPERFECT